

Phonology-Morphology Interface
Jadertina Summer School
University of Zadar
September 2006
Handout 2

1 Phonologically-conditioned suppletive allomorphy (part 2)

1.1 Syllable-counting allomorphy

1.1.1 Estonian: The output-optimization approach

(1) Syllable-counting suppletive allomorphy in Estonian [Kager 1996]:

a. Genitive plural: *-te* occurs with even-syllable stems; *-tte* occurs with odd-syllable stems:

[<u>'</u> visa- <u>te</u>]	(no gloss)	[<u>'</u> para'ja- <u>tte</u>]	(no gloss)
[<u>'</u> atmi'rali- <u>te</u>]	'admiral'	[<u>'</u> raama'tu- <u>tte</u>]	'book'

b. Partitive plural: *-sit* occurs with even-syllable stems; *-it* occurs with odd-syllable stems:

[<u>'</u> visa- <u>sit</u>]	(no gloss)	[<u>'</u> para'ja- <u>it</u>]	(no gloss)
[<u>'</u> atmi'rali- <u>sit</u>]	'admiral'	[<u>'</u> raama'tu- <u>it</u>]	'book'

c. Partitive singular: *-t* or *-∅* occurs with even-syllable stems; *-tt* occurs with odd-syllable stems:

[<u>'</u> ema- <u>∅</u>]	'mother'	[<u>'</u> raama'tu- <u>tt</u>]	'book'
[<u>'</u> tele'foni- <u>∅</u>]	'telephone'	[<u>'</u> numis'maatik'ku- <u>tt</u>]	'numismatics'

(2) OT constraints in Kager's (1996) analysis:

a. FT-BIN: Feet are binary under syllabic or moraic analysis

b. PARSE-2: One of two adjacent stress units (σ , μ) must be parsed by a foot

c. ALIGN-HD-L: Align (PrWd, L, Head (PrWd), L) (Effect: initial main stress)

d. ONSET: Syllables have onsets

e. ALIGN-ST-R: Align (Stem, R, Foot, R): Each right stem edge coincides with a right foot edge

f. PK-PROM: Peak(x) > Peak(y) if |x| > |y|

(3) Genitive plural (even stem):

	/visa, {-te/-tte}/	FT-BIN	PARSE-2	ALIGN-HD-L	ONSET	ALIGN-ST-R	PK-PROM
a.	☞ [(ví.sa)-te]						L
b.	[(vísa-t)-te]					*!	L
c.	[vi(sá-t).te]			*!		*	H
d.	[(ví).(sà-t).te]	*!				*	L, H

(4) Genitive plural (odd stem):

	/paraja, {-te/-tte}/	FT-BIN	PARSE-2	ALIGN-HD-L	ONSET	ALIGN-ST-R	PK-PROM
a.	☞ [(pá.ra)(jà-t).te]					*	L, H
b.	[(pá.ra)(jà-te)]					*	L, L!
c.	[pa.(rá.ja)-te]			*!			L
d.	[(pá.ra).ja-te]		*!			*	L
e.	[(pá.ra)(jà-te)]	*!					L, L
f.	[(pá.ra.ja)-te]	*!					L

(5) Partitive plural (even stem):

	/visa, {-sit/-it}/	FT-BIN	PARSE-2	ALIGN-HD-L	ONSET	ALIGN-ST-R	PK-PROM
a.	☞ [(ví.sa)-sit]						L
b.	[(ví.sa-i)-t]					*!	L
c.	[(ví.sa)-it]				*!		L
d.	[vi(sá-i)t]			*!		*	H
e.	[(ví)(sà-i)t]	*!				*	L, H

(6) Partitive plural (odd stem):

	/paraja -{-sit/-it}/	FT-BIN	PARSE-2	ALIGN-HD-L	ONSET	ALIGN-ST-R	PK-PROM
a.	☞ [(pá.ra).(jà-i)t]					*	L, H
b.	[(pá.ra).(jà-si)t]					*	L, L!
c.	[(pá.ra).(jà-.i)t]				*!	*	L, L
d.	[pa.(rá.ja)-sit]			*!			L
e.	[(pá.ra).ja-sit]		*!			*	L
f.	[(pá.ra).ja-it]		*!			*	L
g.	[(pá).(r à.ja)-sit]	*!					L, L
h.	[(pá.ra).(jà)-sit]	*!					L, L

1.1.2 Problematic examples

- (7) In Tzeltal (Mayan, Mexico; Walsh Dickey 1999) the perfective is marked by *-oh* [o] with monosyllabic stems and with *-eh* [ɛ] elsewhere:

[s-ku'tʃ- <u>oh</u>]	‘she has carried it’	[s-kutʃ-la'j- <u>ɛh</u>]	‘she was carrying it repeatedly’
[s-ma'h- <u>oh</u>]	‘he has hit something’	[s-makli'j- <u>ɛh</u>]	‘he has listened to something’
[j-i'l- <u>oh</u>]	‘he has seen something’	[s-makli'n- <u>ɛh</u>]	‘he has fed someone’
[s-jo'm- <u>oh</u>]	‘he has gathered it’	[s-majli'j- <u>ɛh</u>]	‘he has waited for someone’

- (8) In Kaititj (Pama-Nyungan, Australia; Koch 1980) the ergative/instrumental/locative suffix occurs as [ŋ] with disyllabic stems and as [l] with larger stems:

[a'ki-ŋ]	‘head’	[a'liki-l]	‘dog’
[il't'i-ŋ]	‘hand’	[a'tuyi-l]	‘man’
[aN'mi-ŋ]	‘red ochre’	[a'ɣirki-l]	‘sun’
[ayn'pi-ŋ]	‘pouch’	[l'uNpiri-l]	‘forehead’

- (9) In Nakanai (Austronesian, New Britain; Johnston 1980) the nominalizing affix surfaces as [-il-] when it can be in the first syllable and adjacent to main stress and [-la] occurs elsewhere:

[au]	‘steer’	[vi-gile-muli]	‘tell a story’
[il-au]	‘steering’	[vi-gile-muli-muli-la]	‘story’
[peho]	‘die’	[vi-kue]	‘fight (verb)’
[p-il-eho]	‘death’	[vi-kue-la]	‘fight (noun)’
[oso]	‘dive’	[go-ilo]	‘go in’
[il-oso]	‘diving’	[go-ilo-la]	‘entrance’

- (10) Paster (2005) uncovers a number of examples like the ones in (5-7). She proposes that such suppletive allomorphs require subcategorizations, e.g.:

a. Subcategorizations for Tzeltal

$[[\# \sigma \#]_{\text{VERB STEM}} \text{ oh}_{\text{PERF. SUFFIX}}]_{\text{PERF VERB}}$

$[[\quad]_{\text{VERB STEM}} \text{ eh}_{\text{PERF. SUFFIX}}]_{\text{PERF VERB}}$

b. Subcategorizations for Nakanai

$[il_{\text{NOMINALIZING PREFIX}} [V, \sigma]_{\text{VERB STEM}} \quad]_{\text{NOUN}}$

$[[\quad]_{\text{VERB STEM}} \text{ la}_{\text{NOMINALIZING SUFFIX}}]_{\text{NOUN}}$

(11) A reanalysis of Estonian genitive plural allomorphs with subcategorizations:

[[Ft#]_{VERB STEM} *te*_{GEN PLURAL. SUFFIX}]_{GEN PLURAL WORD}

[[]_{VERB STEM} *tte*_{GEN PLURAL. SUFFIX}]_{GEN PLURAL WORD}

(12) Possible conclusions:

- a. Certain cases of syllable-counting allomorphy require OT-style output optimization, while others do not (see Booij 1998, who espouses a similar view).
- b. Subcategorization accounts for all cases of syllable-counting allomorphy (Paster 2005)

1.2 A case study from German: The distribution of *-keit* and *-heit*

(13) There are two nominalising suffixes in German with a similar function [see Fleischer & Barz 1995]:

<i>adjective</i>		<i>adjective+keit</i>	
ewig	‘eternal’	Ewig-keit	‘eternity’
frei	‘free’	Frei-heit	‘freedom’

Notes:

- The suffix *-heit* also occurs after noun stems (e.g. *Kindheit* ‘childhood’ *Menschheit* ‘humanity’), but these examples are rare. Both *-heit* and *-keit* are highly productive after adjective stems.
- Some of the descriptive and theoretical literature on *-heit* and *-keit*: Fleischer & Barz (1995), Giegerich (1985), Wiese (1996), Hall (1998)

(14) The suffix *-keit* occurs after adjective stems which end in a trochaic foot:

<i>adjective</i>		<i>adjective+keit</i>	<i>adjective+heit</i>	
ewig	‘eternal’	Ewig-keit	*Ewig-heit	‘eternity’
heiser	‘hoarse’	Heiser-keit	*Heiser-heit	‘hoarseness’
sauber	‘clean’	Sauber-keit	*Sauber-heit	‘cleanliness’
übel	‘nauseous’	Übel-keit	*Übel-heit	‘nausea’
eitel	‘vain’	Eitel-keit	*Eitel-heit	‘vanity’
tapfer	‘brave’	Tapfer-keit	*Tapfer-heit	‘bravery’

- (15) The suffix *-keit* also occurs after a morphologically-complex base. In all of these examples stress falls on the first stem syllable and the suffixes are not stressed.

<i>adjective</i>		<i>adjective+keit</i>	<i>adjective+heit</i>	
ehr-bar	‘respectable’	Ehr-bar-keit	*Ehr-bar-heit	‘respectability’
ge-lehr-sam	‘erudite’	Ge-lehr-sam-keit	*Ge-lehr-sam-heit	‘erudition’
freund-lich	‘friendly’	Freund-lich-keit	*Freund-lich-heit	‘friendliness’
lieb-lich	‘lovely’	Lieb-lich-keit	*Lieb-lich-heit	‘loveliness’
mächt-ig	‘powerful’	Mächt-ig-keit	*Mächt-ig-heit	‘power’

- (16) After adjective stems ending in an iamb *-heit* occurs:

<i>adjective</i>		<i>adjective+keit</i>	<i>adjective+heit</i>	
privat	‘private’	*Privat-keit	Privat-heit	‘privatness’
gespannt	‘tense’	*Gespannt-keit	Gespannt-heit	‘tenseness’
markiert	‘marked’	*Markiert-keit	Markiert-heit	‘markedness’
exakt	‘exact’	*Exakt-keit	Exakt-heit	‘exactitude’
korrekt	‘correct’	*Korrekt-keit	Korrekt-heit	‘correctness’
grotesk	‘preposterous’	*Grotesk-keit	Grotesk-heit	‘preposterousness’

- (17) After a monosyllabic base *-heit* occurs:

<i>adjective</i>		<i>adjective+keit</i>	<i>adjective+heit</i>	
neu	‘new’	*Neu-keit	Neu-heit	‘newness’
frei	‘free’	*Frei-keit	Frei-heit	‘freedom’
schön	‘beautiful’	*Schön-keit	Schön-heit	‘beauty’
blind	‘blind’	*Blind-keit	Blind-heit	‘blindness’
taub	‘deaf’	*Taub-keit	Taub-heit	‘deafness’
krank	‘sick’	*Krank-keit	Krank-heit	‘illness’

- (18) The contexts in which *-heit* and *-keit* occur can be captured directly in the respective subcategorizations:

a. *-keit*: $[[('\sigma \sigma)_{F\#}]_{\text{ADJECTIVE STEM}} \textit{keit}_{\text{NOMINALIZING SUFFIX}}]_{\text{NOUN}}$

b. *-heit*: $[\quad]_{\text{ADJECTIVE STEM}} \textit{heit}_{\text{NOMINALIZING SUFFIX}}]_{\text{NOUN}}$

- (19) To what extent are the following examples problematic for the subcategorizations in (18)?

<i>adjective</i>		<i>adjective+keit</i>	<i>adjective+heit</i>	
selten	‘seldom’	*Selten-keit	Selten-heit	‘seldomness’
offen	‘open’	*Offen-keit	Offen-heit	‘openness’
trocken	‘dry’	*Trocken-keit	Trocken-heit	‘dryness’
albern	‘silly’	*Albern-keit	Albern-heit	‘silliness’
nüchtern	‘sober’	*Nüchtern-keit	Nüchtern-heit	‘sobriety’
entschlossen	‘decisive’	*Entschlossen-keit	Entschlossen-heit	‘decisiveness’
besoffen	‘drunk’	*Besoffen-keit	Besoffen-heit	‘drunkenness’

- (20) How could the subcategorizations in (18) be modified to account for the data in (19)?

a. -heit:

b. -keit:

References

- Booij, G. 1998. Phonological output constraints in morphology. In: W. Kehrein & R. Wiese (Hrsg.) *Phonology and Morphology of the Germanic Languages*. 143-163. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Fleischer, W. & I. Barz 1995. *Wortbildung der deutschen Gegenwartssprache*. Tübingen: Niemeyer. (2. Auflage).
- Giegerich, H. 1985. *Metrical Phonology and Phonological Structure. German and English*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hall, T. A. 1998. A note on secondary stress in German prosodic morphology. *Linguistische Berichte* 175: 414-424.
- Johnston, R. L. 1980. *Nakanai of New Britain: The Grammar of an Oceanic Language*. Canberra: Australian National University.
- Kager, R. 1996. On suffix allomorphy and syllable counting. In: U. Kleinhenz (ed.) *Interfaces in Phonology*. 155-171. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Koch, H. J. 1980. Kaititj nominal inflection: Some comparative notes. In: B. Rigsby & P. Sutton (eds.) *Papers in Australian Linguistics 13: Contributions to Australian Linguistics*: 259-274. Australian national University: Pacific Linguistics Series A, Number 59.
- Paster, M. 2005. Subcategorization vs. output optimization in syllable-counting allomorphy. *WCCFL* 24: 326-333.
- Walsh Dickey, L 1999. Syllable count and Tzeltal segmental allomorphy. In: J. Rennison & K. Kühnhammer (eds.) *Phonologica 1996: Syllables!?: 323-334*. The Hague: Thesus.
- Wiese, R. 1996. *The Phonology of German*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

